



Fibonacci Aspects of Consensus in today's 'SportsNation' Polls - 24 Oct 2013


Opportunity knocks



Jason Campbell was passed over the first time the Browns changed quarterbacks. He'll get his chance Sunday against the Chiefs.

SPORTSNATION

Who should start for the Browns at quarterback when both are healthy?



Note that with only 917 votes in the Fibonacci .618 to .382 spread of responses is already quite obvious

DISCUSS (Total votes: 917)

Hard times



Campbell is replacing Brandon Weeden, who has struggled mightily this season in Cleveland.

SPORTSNATION


How bad is the overall quality of quarterbacks in the NFL right now?



Together the two "it's bad" options get 63% of the vote while the "it's not that bad" gets only 37%.
Once again the Fibonacci .618 to .382 spread appears.

DISCUSS (Total votes: 46,911)

Which team has the worst quarterback situation?



Regrettably, I wasted a few minutes of my life watching the Giants - Vikings game this week. So my Vikings vote helped contribute to the 38% with 62% for all other choices. Fibonacci again.

DISCUSS (Total votes: 27,985)

Fibonacci Aspects of Consensus

In our tutorial on Fibonacci aspects of consensus we demonstrate that polling results almost always yield the Fibonacci 62% to 38% result. And that is why Fibonacci retracements are so common in the markets. The markets are a voting both where you cast your ballots with buy and sell orders. From time to time I like to revisit this issue by highlighting the results of an on-line poll. Today I use the three daily SportsNation polls